

HR --

Do you want  to go to this  
meeting with you? If so, we need to call in  
his name.

25X1

*gld  
done  
3/31/82  
e*

25X1

NSC  
review

Approved For Release 2009/08/10 : CIA-RDP85M00366R000100060009-7

STAT

de Denie

Approved For Release 2009/08/10 : CIA-RDP85M00366R000100060009-7

# **EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT**

## **Routing Slip**

TO:		ACTION	INFO	DATE	INITIAL
1	DCI		✓		
2	DDCI		✓		
3	EXDIR		✓		
4	D/ICS				
5	DDI				
6	DDA				
7	DDO				
8	DDS&T				
9	Chm/NIC		✓		
10	GC				
11	IG				
12	Compt				
13	D/EE0				
14	D/Pers				
15	D/OEA				
16	C/PAD/OEA				
17	SA/IA		✓		
18	AO/DCI				
19	C/IPD/OIS				
20					
21					
22					
		SUSPENSE _____ Date			

Remarks:

25X1

Executive Secretary

Date

**TOP SECRET**

UNCLASSIFIED when blank—TOP SECRET when attached to Top Secret Document—Automatically downgraded or declassified when filled in form is detached from controlled document.

**CONTROL AND COVER SHEET FOR TOP SECRET DOCUMENT**

<b>DOCUMENT DESCRIPTION</b>		<b>REGISTRY</b>	
SOURCE <b>HSC (Wheeler)</b>		CIA CONTROL NO. <b>T5820210/5</b>	
DOC. NO. <b>90181</b>		DATE DOCUMENT RECEIVED <b>25 March 1982</b>	
DOC. DATE <b>24 March 1982</b>		LOGGED BY <b>LS</b>	
COPY NO. <b>5</b>			
NUMBER OF PAGES <b>5</b>			
NUMBER OF ATTACHMENTS			

**ATTENTION:** This form will be placed on top of and attached to each Top Secret document received by the Central Intelligence Agency or classified Top Secret within the CIA and will remain attached to the document until such time as it is downgraded, destroyed, or transmitted outside of CIA. Access to Top Secret matter is limited to Top Secret Control personnel and those individuals whose official duties relate to the matter. Top Secret Control Officers who receive and/or release the attached Top Secret material will sign this form and indicate period of custody in the left-hand columns provided. Each individual who sees the Top Secret document will sign and indicate the date of handling in the right-hand columns.

REFERRED TO	RECEIVED			RELEASED		SEEN BY		
OFFICE	SIGNATURE	DATE	TIME	DATE	TIME	SIGNATURE	OFFICE/DIV.	DATE
<b>C/NIC</b>								
							<b>25X1</b>	

**NOTICE OF DETACHMENT:** When this form is detached from Top Secret material it shall be completed in the appropriate spaces below and transmitted to Central Top Secret Control for record.

<b>DOWNGRADED</b>		<b>DESTROYED</b>		<b>DISPATCHED (OUTSIDE CIA)</b>	
TO		BY (Signature)		TO	
BY (Signature)		WITNESSED BY (Signature)		BY (Signature)	
OFFICE	DATE	OFFICE	DATE	OFFICE	DATE

**TOP SECRET****T  
O  
P  
S  
E  
C  
R  
E  
T**

**TOP SECRET**

SYSTEM 11

90181

NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20506CONFIDENTIAL WITH  
TOP SECRET ATTACHMENT

March 24, 1982

MEMORANDUM FOR L. PAUL BREMER III  
Executive Secretary  
Department of StateLIEUTENANT COLONEL ROBERT P. MEEHAN  
Assistant for Interagency Matters  
Office of the Secretary of DefenseExecutive Secretary  
Central Intelligence AgencyCOLONEL CHARLES F. STEBBINS  
Executive Assistant to the  
Chairman, Joint Chiefs of StaffSUBJECT: Minutes of Interagency Review Group Meeting,  
NSSD 1-82, March 23, 1982

Attached at Tab A are summary minutes of the NSSD 1-82 interagency review group meeting held on March 23, 1982. The next NSSD 1-82 interagency review group meeting will be on Thursday, April 1, at 9:30 a.m. in Room 330, OEOB. At Tab B is the agenda for that meeting.

*Michael O. Wheeler*Michael O. Wheeler  
Colonel, USAF  
Staff Secretary

Attachments

TS820210/5

Tab A Minutes  
Tab B Agenda for April 1 meetingCy 5CONFIDENTIAL WITH  
TOP SECRET ATTACHMENT  
Review March 24, 1988**TOP SECRET**

MAR 25 10 28 AM '82

25X1

TOP SECRET

MINUTES OF INTERAGENCY REVIEW GROUP MEETING, NSSD 1-82  
March 23, 1982

Participants

Thomas C. Reed, NSC Staff, Chairman  
Fred Ikle, DOD  
Francis J. West, Jr., DOD  
Richard Burt, State  
[redacted] CIA  
LTG Paul Gorman, JCS  
Paul Wolfowitz, State  
Arnold Kanter, State  
Nancy Bearg Dyke, OVP  
James Rentschler, NSC Staff  
David Shilling, DOD

25X1

[redacted] CIA  
COL John Pappageorge, State  
COL Clelland Downs, JCS  
COL Donn Miller, JCS  
COL Michael Berta, NSC Staff  
Randy Beers, State  
LTC Allan Myer, NSC Staff  
MAJ Chris Shoemaker, NSC Staff  
MAJ Bob Kimmitt, NSC Staff

25X1

Time and Place: 9:40 a.m. to 11:00 a.m., March 23, 1982,  
Room 330, OEOB

MINUTES

1. Status of other NSSDs. LTC Myer provided the following status report on existing and pending NSSDs:

- NSSDs signed by the President: NSSD 1-82 (National Security Strategy); NSSD 2-82 (Counterintelligence); NSSD 3-82 (START); NSSD 4-82 (Near East and Southwest Asia); NSSD 5-82 (Japan).
- Pending NSSDs: Information Policy: Judge Clark returned to NSC Staff for revisions; Energy Security Policy: Input received from interagency, now being revised by NSC Staff; International Economic Policy: Under development in NSC Staff; East Europe: Ready to go to President, expect it to be signed this week.

TOP SECRET

Review March 23, 2002

Classified & Extended by William P. Clark

Reason for Extension: NSC 1.13(e)

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET

2

2. Projected Work Plan. A projected work plan was distributed and discussed.

- ° Sections A-C: Next Interagency Review Group meeting will be on April 1. NSC meeting to be held on April 14 (tentative date) to consider Parts I-II and Part III, Sections A-C.
- ° Sections D-G: First Interagency Review Group meeting on these sections will be held April 2 to review papers. Subsequently, meetings will be held on April 12 to review redrafted papers and consider issues appropriate for NSC consideration and on April 21 to approve Sections D-G issue papers and to approve the final Sections A-C papers.
- ° An NSC meeting is tentatively scheduled for April 27 to consider Sections D-G issues and the final product.

3. Interagency coordination of Section E (Non-Nuclear Forces) and Section G (Force Integration). Concern was expressed that Sections E and G had not yet been released for interagency coordination. LTG Gorman noted that the resolution of some questions in Sections A-C was required before Sections E and G could be drafted in a manner appropriate for release to the interagency.

4. Section A (Threat)

- ° Content of Paper. Discussion revealed that further revision is required. The following observations were made: (1) The paper does not contain sufficient mid- to long-term perspectives; (2) PRC treatment needs to be expanded; (3) Treatment of North Korean military potential requires revision; (4) Written comments of JCS needs to be considered for incorporation into document.
- ° Issues. There were no issues surfaced that require NSC resolution.

5. Section B (Role of Allies)

- ° Content of Paper. Further revision is required. Observations included: (1) Summary treatment of Europe needs strengthening; (2) Discussion of Turkey's linkage to Southwest Asia should be recast; (3) Role of Israel in the event of a US-Soviet conflict needs to be revised; (4) Written comments by JCS needs to be considered for incorporation into document.
- ° Issues. There were no issues surfaced that require NSC resolution.

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET

3

6. Section C (Military Objectives)

- Content of Paper. There was no substantive discussion of the content of the paper. However, the JCS provided written comments for consideration in the development of future drafts.
- Issues. The three potential issues contained at the end of the section were discussed at length and in detail. There was consensus that it would be appropriate to bring to the NSC only those issues which, if a decision is made, would have a meaningful impact on planning, resource allocation, or strategy development. There was no consensus on whether the potential issues presented to the group fit that definition. The sensing drawn from the group was that the issue of warwidening/counteroffensives may not be an issue if the statement of wartime military objectives was more clearly defined. There was also general agreement that the issues of peacetime resource allocation priorities and force development objectives for Southwest Asia were interrelated. A view was also presented which argued that the issue of peacetime resource allocation priorities could be treated appropriately in Section E (Non-nuclear Forces). However, there was no consensus on whether these potential issues should be considered by the NSC. The discussion concluded by noting that if there was an issue, further work was required to redraft the issue and to draft the resulting issue paper.

7. Other matters for NSC consideration. Discussion included the need to bring to the NSC major points for which there is interagency agreement and which have significant policy implications. The sensing drawn from the group was that this recommendation should be acted upon.

8. Taskings

- Sections A-C papers are to be revised as appropriate. The revision process is to include determination of those major points which have significant policy implications.
- Issue paper development for Section C, as appropriate, is to proceed using interagency coordination.
- The next interagency review group meeting will be held on Thursday, April 1, at 9:30 a.m., Room 330, OEOB.

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET



~~TOP SECRET~~

TOP SECRET

4

- On April 2, at 1:00 p.m. (Room 305, OEOB), the interagency review group will meet to consider initial drafts on Sections D-G. Papers should be provided to interagency players with sufficient lead time to allow for review and staffing.

TOP SECRET

TOP SECRET

Agenda

Interagency Review Group Meeting

NSSD 1-82

April 1, 1982, 9:30-11:00 a.m., Room 330, OEOB

- ° Chairman's report on Presidential review (10 minutes)  
and comments on Sections A-C
- ° Discussion of Sections A-C papers with focus on: (45 minutes)
  - °° Executive summaries: Do they provide fair representation of major findings?
  - °° Determination of major points which have significant policy implications and should be brought to the attention of the NSC
- ° Discussion of Issues/Issue Papers, as appropriate (35 minutes)